Internal Revenue Service memorandum

CC:TL:Br2 RLOsborne

date: 0CT 1 4 1988

to: District Counsel, Dallas, Texas

Attn: George Gasper

from: Director, Tax Litigation Division CC:TL

subject

We hereby respond to your October 11, 1988, phone request for written technical advice.

ISSUE

Whether or not the presently signed Form 872 and Form 872-P are sufficient to extend the statute of limitations for all members of the group.

FACTS

Until and several other corporations were owned by a Delaware Corp., was the common parent of the group, which filed consolidated returns. In turn, was owned by a master limited partnership. In liquidated its subsidiaries, including and liquidated its subsidiaries, including as then liquidated. Its assets were distributed to the master limited partnership.

Exams is auditing the group for and Exams is also auditing a partnership, of which was general partner. Exams has obtained Forms 872 for the group, executed by the master limited partnership, as successor to Exams has also obtained a Form 872P for executed by the master limited partnership, as successor to

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The master limited partnership is not a successor to the liquidated corporations, but rather a transferee. Moreover, since the old common parent, the liquidated, and no new agent for the group was designated, the

08801

MARLENE GROSS

By:

ALFRED C. BISHOP

Chief, Branch No. 2

Tax Litigation Division

^{1/} Treas. Reg. § 1.1502-77(d). We could argue that under Delaware law a corporation continues in existence for purposes of winding up, and therefore continues in existence for purposes of Reg. 1.1502-77(d). However, this position has litigating hazards.